

Environmental Impact Assessment Report

Beinneun 2 Wind Farm

Volume 1

Chapter 10: Climate Change

Document prepared by Envams Ltd for: Beinneun 2 Ltd

July 2025



Contents

10	Climate Change	2
10.1	Introduction.....	2
10.1.1	Assessment Scenarios and Potential Effects	2
10.1.2	Supporting Information.....	2
10.1.3	Competence.....	2
10.1.4	Consultation	2
10.2	Legislation Policy and Guidance.....	2
10.3	Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria	3
10.3.1	Scope of Assessment	3
10.3.2	Study Area	4
10.3.3	Design Parameters	4
10.3.4	Baseline Survey Methodology	4
10.3.5	Methodology for the Assessment of Effects	6
10.3.6	Assessment Limitations	8
10.3.7	Embedded Mitigation	9
10.4	Baseline Conditions.....	9
10.4.1	Wind Speed.....	10
10.4.2	Precipitation	10
10.4.3	Temperature.....	10
10.5	Assessment of Potential Effects	10
10.5.1	Vulnerability of the Development to Climate Change	10
10.5.2	Influences of the Development on Climate Change	11
10.6	Mitigation and Residual Effects.....	13
10.7	Cumulative Effect Assessment	13
10.8	Summary of Effects	13
10.9	Statement of Significance	14

10 CLIMATE CHANGE

10.1 INTRODUCTION

This Chapter, a Climate Change Impact Assessment (CCIA), evaluates the effects of, and on, the proposed Beinneun 2 Wind Farm (the Development) on land approximately 5.4 km northwest of Invergarry and approximately 11.3 km southwest of Fort Augustus, Highland (the Site) on, and from, the climate. The Development is described in EIA Report Chapter 4, Development Description.

10.1.1 Assessment Scenarios and Potential Effects

Climate change may affect the Development, if meteorological conditions change so that they are outside the design parameters of the Development infrastructure. This is evaluated through a study of predicted meteorological change over the lifetime of the Development.

The influence of the Development on climate change is through its net effect on emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs), evaluated as carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_{2e}), through application of the carbon calculator. The carbon calculator is an online tool produced by the Scottish Government for use in processing the determination of onshore wind farm developments in Scotland. The purpose of the tool is to comprehensively assess the predicted carbon impact of the Development.

Climate change may affect other aspects of the environment, such as ecology, leading to a future baseline that is different to the current baseline. The Development could affect that future baseline differently to how it affects the current baseline. These effects of climate change are considered, where potentially relevant to likely significant effects, in the other technical chapters (5 to 14) of this EIA Report.

10.1.2 Supporting Information

This Chapter is supported by EIA Report, Volume 3, Technical Appendix (TA) A10.1: Carbon Calculator Inputs.

10.1.3 Competence

This assessment was carried out by Paul Phillips, one of the directors of Envams Ltd, an environmental and planning consultancy specialising in renewable energy development in the UK. Paul is an IEMA Registered Environmental Impact Assessment Practitioner with over 20 years experience in Environmental Impact Assessment, the large majority of which he has spent working on renewable energy developments.

10.1.4 Consultation

Consultation for in relation to climate change is summarised in Table 10.1.

Table 10.1: Consultation Responses from Consultees

Consultee	Type and Date	Summary of Response	Response to Consultee
The Highland Council	Scoping Response, 12th January 2024	The assessment should also highlight sustainable development attributes including for example assessment of carbon emissions / carbon savings.	This chapter presents a Carbon Balance assessment with results shown in Section 10.5.2

10.2 LEGISLATION POLICY AND GUIDANCE

The following legislation, policy and guidance have been considered in carrying out this assessment:

- The Electricity Act 1989¹;

¹ The Electricity Act (1989). Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1989/29/contents> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

- The Electricity Works (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Scotland) Regulations 2017 (the EIA Regulations)²;
- National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4)³;
- Onshore Wind Policy Statement 2022⁴;
- The Draft Energy Strategy and Just Transition Plan⁵;
- Seventh Carbon Budget 2025⁶;
- Net Zero Strategy: Build Back Greener⁷;
- Update to the Climate Change Plan 2018-2032: Securing a Green Recovery on a Path to Net Zero⁸;
- Progress in reducing UK emissions - 2023 Report to Parliament⁹;
- UKCP18¹⁰;
- The Highland Council (2023). Net Zero Strategy¹¹; and
- IEMA's "Assessing greenhouse gas emissions and evaluating their significance"¹² (the "IEMA Guidelines").

10.3 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY AND SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

10.3.1 Scope of Assessment

The following assessments are considered in terms of the Development:

- The vulnerability of the Development to climate change; and
- The influence of the Development on climate change.

These assessments consider effects on environmental receptors as a result of the Development.

The assessment of the influence of the Development on climate change focusses on the overall balance of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions as climate change is directly linked to these emissions. No further analysis is undertaken of how climate parameters change in direct response to the emissions balance of the Development.

The results of the assessment are a conservative estimate as the battery energy storage system (BESS) of the Development is not included in the Scottish Government tool for calculating carbon savings. The BESS will store electricity for export to the grid at times of need and will further displace other forms of generation usually drawn on at those times.

² The Electricity Works (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Scotland) Regulations 2017, SI 2017/101. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ssi/2017/101/contents> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

³ Scottish Government (2024). National Planning Framework 4. Available at: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/national-planning-framework-4/> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

⁴ Scottish Government (2022). Onshore Wind Policy Statement. Available at: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/onshore-wind-policy-statement-2022/> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

⁵ Scottish Government (2023). Draft Energy Strategy and Just Transition Plan. Available at: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/draft-energy-strategy-transition-plan/> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

⁶ Climate Change Committee (2025). The Seventh Carbon Budget. Available at: <https://www.theccc.org.uk/publication/the-seventh-carbon-budget/> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

⁷ DESNZ (2022). Net Zero Strategy: Build Back Greener. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/net-zero-strategy> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

⁸ Scottish Government (2020). Securing a green recovery on a path to net zero: climate change plan 2018–2032 – update. Available at: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/securing-green-recovery-path-net-zero-update-climate-change-plan-20182032/> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

⁹ Climate Change Committee (2023). Progress in reducing UK emissions - 2023 Report to Parliament. Available at: <https://www.theccc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/Progress-in-reducing-UK-emissions-2023-Report-to-Parliament-1.pdf> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

¹⁰ MetOffice (2025). UK Climate Projections. Available at: <https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/research/approach/collaboration/ukcp> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

¹¹ The Highland Council (2023). Net Zero Strategy. Available at: https://www.highland.gov.uk/downloads/file/27438/net_zero_strategy [Accessed 14/07/2025]

¹² Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) (2017). Assessing Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Evaluating their Significance 2nd Edition [Online]. Available at: <https://www.iema.net/resources/blogs/2022/02/28/iema-launch-of-the-updated-eia-guidance-on-assessing-ghg-emissions-february-2022/> [accessed on 13/04/2025].

10.3.2 Study Area

The study area considered for the assessment of vulnerability of the Development to climate change consists of all infrastructure proposed within the Site. The assessment considers the forecast climate changes over the planned operational phase of the Development, i.e., 40 years from commissioning. Information on climate trends and projections at the Scottish and local scale are utilised.

The assessment of the influence of the Development on climate change considers GHG emissions (current levels and targets) within the Scottish and UK spatial scale. Reference is made to the global context as appropriate.

10.3.3 Design Parameters

The design of the Development is a balance of technical, resource and environmental considerations. Those of relevance for the assessments in this Section include:

- Installed capacity and capacity factor of the wind turbines - for calculation of carbon balance;
- Turbine spacing in relation to prevailing wind direction - for effects on generation, turbulence, vulnerability to damage with potential changes to wind speed, direction and storminess;
- Amount and layout of new track and infrastructure, including excavations and small areas of felling, in relation to deep peat – for calculation of carbon balance;
- Buffers to watercourses – for assessing vulnerability to flooding due to changes in precipitation events; and
- Construction Management commitments particularly in relation to minimisation of disturbance and re-use of peat, and potential for flooding.

10.3.4 Baseline Survey Methodology

Vulnerability of the Development to Climate Change

This section of the CCIA identifies aspects of the Development which are potentially vulnerable to the effects of climate change. Where identified, these vulnerabilities can then be mitigated through embedded mitigation or the application of other measures. The construction and decommissioning stages of the Development are not considered to be vulnerable to climate change and have been scoped out of further consideration.

Taking into account the nature and location of the Development, the following climate related parameters are considered to have the potential to impact upon the operation of the Development (which is expected to be between c. 2030 and c. 2070):

- Wind (speed, direction and gustiness);
- Temperature; and
- Precipitation.

Climate trends and projections are published by the Met Office through the UK Climate Projections website. The UKCP18 became available in November 2018, and was most recently updated in September 2019. The UKCP18 provides the most up to date assessment of how the climate of the UK may change over this century.

UKCP18 uses scenarios for future greenhouse gas emissions called Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs). The four RCPs attempt to capture a range of potential alternative futures and outcomes linked to global temperature increases and include a wide variety of assumptions on socioeconomic development and commitment to emissions reductions. The sensitivity of the scenario responses is much more pronounced in the second half of the 21st century, where the responses diverge more rapidly than in the first half of the century. The four RCPs are as follows:

- RCP2.6: assumes an increase in global mean surface temperature of 1.6°C (0.9-2.3) by 2081-2100 (no change scenario);
- RCP4.5: assumes an increase in global mean surface temperature of 2.4°C (1.7-3.2) by 2081-2100 (low emissions scenario);
- RCP6.0: assumes an increase in global mean surface temperature of 2.8°C (2.0-3.7) by 2081-2100 (medium emissions scenario); and

- RCP8.5: assumes an increase in global mean surface temperature of 4.3°C (3.2-5.4) by 2081-2100 (high emissions scenario).

Over the 40-year anticipated lifetime of the Development, the choice of scenario is therefore not fundamental to the assessment but, where appropriate, the medium emissions scenario RCP6.0 is utilised as the future baseline. Reflecting the Paris Climate Agreement¹³, in which most countries including the UK pledged to reduce emissions by 2030, this scenario assumes no further emissions reductions after 2030 and allows for some increase in emissions.

Projections are reported for 20-year time periods through to 2100. The 2041 - 2060 period provides the closest projections to the operational phase of the Development.

Projected climatic changes at the 50% probability level (central estimate) are utilised, unless otherwise indicated. This is the level where there is as much evidence pointing to a lower outcome as a higher one. There is substantial evidence that the actual climatic change outcome will be in the 10th to 90th percentile range and this is also utilised for limited assessment parameters¹⁴.

Influence of the Development on Climate Change

This section of the CCIA seeks to quantify the effect of the Development on climate change.

In Scotland, applications submitted under Section 36 of the Electricity Act 1989 are required to undertake the carbon balance assessment using the Scottish Government's carbon calculator tool. At the time of completing this Carbon Balance assessment, the online version of the calculator was unavailable. When approached, the Energy Consents Unit provided the latest draft of the Carbon Calculator in excel format (Version 2.14.1). The carbon assessment methodology used is consistent with that published by the Rural and Environment Research and Analysis Directorate of the Scottish Government entitled 'Calculating carbon savings from wind farms on Scottish peat lands – a new approach'¹⁵. This publication sets out the approach and assumptions that should be used to estimate potential carbon losses and savings from wind farms on Scottish peatlands. The carbon balance assessment inputs are included as TA A10.1. Note that this method does not account for the carbon savings from constructing and operating the BESS (which would displace emissions from gas generation, typically, and hence would lead to further savings), so in this respect the assessment is conservative.

The calculation evaluates the balance of total carbon savings and carbon losses over the life of the Development (excluding the effect of the batteries and other infrastructure in the BESS compound, as these are not covered by the Scottish Government's carbon calculator tool). The potential carbon savings and carbon costs associated with wind farms generally are as follows:

- Carbon emission savings due to generation (based on displacing emissions from different power sources);
- Lifetime costs associated with manufacture of turbines and construction;
- Loss of carbon from backup power generation;
- Loss of carbon-fixing potential of peatland;
- Loss and/or saving of carbon stored in peatland (by peat removal or changes in drainage); and
- Carbon gains due to proposed habitat improvements such as bog restoration.

The calculation of the carbon balance of a proposed wind farm provides a mechanism by which the carbon costs of a wind farm development can be weighed against the carbon savings attributable to the wind farm during its lifetime. This calculation is summarised as the length of time (in years) it will take the carbon savings to amount to the carbon costs and

¹³ United Nations (2016) Framework Convention on Climate Change. Adoption of the Paris Agreement, 21st Conference of the Parties, Paris. Available at: <https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cop21/eng/10a01.pdf> (Accessed 28/03/2025)

¹⁴ Lowe et al (2018) UKCP18 Science Overview Report (Page 13)

¹⁵ Nayak et al (2008) Calculating carbon savings from wind farms on Scottish peat lands: a new approach (Scottish Government). Available at: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/calculating-carbon-savings-wind-farms-scottish-peat-lands-new-approach/pages/13/> (Accessed 28/03/2025)

is referred to as the 'payback period'. This information can then inform decision makers of the value of a wind farm development in terms of overall carbon savings.

Calculations are provided for expected, best case and worst-case scenarios of Development. All scenarios are based on the layout of 19 turbines and candidate turbine described in Chapter 4: Development Description. The final turbine capacity will be confirmed prior to construction, and is likely an estimated installed capacity of approximately 140 MW, plus the battery energy storage system (BESS).

The other scenarios are based on varying assumptions regarding wind energy capacity factor, characteristics of peatland and Development land-take. The data sources and assumptions used in the carbon balance assessment are detailed in TA A10.1. The assessment was informed by peat probing data and analysis, as described in TA A12.2: Peat Landslide Hazard and Risk Assessment.

10.3.5 Methodology for the Assessment of Effects

To determine whether effects are significant under the EIA Regulations, it is appropriate to consider the sensitivity (vulnerability and susceptibility) of the receptor and the magnitude of the impact, taking into account uncertainty. This is based on the professional judgement of the assessor.

10.3.5.1 Sensitivity of Receptors

The sensitivity of the baseline conditions, including the importance of environmental features on or near to the Site or the sensitivity of potentially affected receptors, is assessed in line with best practice guidance, legislation, statutory designations and / or professional judgement. Table 10.2 details the criteria for determining the sensitivity of receptors.

Table 10.2: Criteria for Determining Sensitivity of Receptors

Sensitivity of Receptor	Definition
Very High	The receptor has little or no ability to absorb change without fundamentally altering its present character, is of very high environmental value, or of international importance.
High	The receptor has low ability to absorb change without fundamentally altering its present character, is of high environmental value, or of national importance.
Medium	The receptor has moderate capacity to absorb change without significantly altering its present character, has some environmental value, or is of regional importance.
Low	The receptor is tolerant of change without detriment or benefit to its character, is low environmental value, or is of local importance.
Very Low	The receptor is resistant to change and is of little environmental value.

The two receptors that are the focus of this chapter are the Development itself, and the climate. The Development is assessed as being of High sensitivity to changes to it caused by the weather, on the basis that it is a National Development (as categorised by National Planning Framework 4). Climate change is well established as being one of the major threats to the world, as well as locally, and hence the climate is assessed as being Very High sensitivity.

10.3.5.2 Magnitude of Change

The magnitude of change will be identified through consideration of the Development, the degree of change to baseline conditions predicted as a result of the Development, the duration and reversibility of an effect and professional judgement, best practice guidance and legislation.

The criteria for assessing the magnitude of change are presented in Table 10.3.

Table 10.3: Criteria for Determining Magnitude of Change

Magnitude of Change	Definition
Very High	A national-level change to the baseline condition of a receptor.
High	A fundamental change (positive or negative) to the baseline condition of the receptor, leading to total loss or major alteration of character.
Medium	A material change (positive or negative) leading to partial loss or alteration of character.
Low	A slight, detectable, alteration of the baseline condition which may be positive or negative.
Negligible	A barely distinguishable change from baseline conditions.

10.3.5.3 Significance of Effect (General)

The sensitivity of the asset and the magnitude of the predicted change will be used as a guide, in addition to professional judgement, to predict the significance of the likely effects.

The IEMA guidelines for CCIA state the following with regards to the assessment of significance:

“Once the sensitivity and magnitude have been determined, these should be combined to reach an overall judgement on the significance of the likely environmental effect. As there is no legislative definition of ‘significance’, the conclusion of whether an effect is significant/the level of significance is down to the CCAR [Climate Change Adaption and Resilience] Coordinator in conjunction with the EIA Coordinator. An explanation of the outcomes of the assessment should be clearly set out.

Appropriate criteria for sensitivity, magnitude and significance for the climate resilience assessment should be developed on a project-by-project basis by the CCAR Coordinator in conjunction with the EIA Coordinator, and should take into account the aims/purpose of the project.”

Table 10.4 outlines the framework for the assessment of significance of effects.

Table 10.4: Framework for Assessment of the Significance of Effects

Magnitude of Change	Sensitivity of Resource or Receptor				
	Very High	High	Medium	Low	Negligible
Very High	Major	Major	Major	Moderate	Minor
High	Major	Major	Moderate	Moderate	Minor
Medium	Major	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Negligible
Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Negligible
Negligible	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible

Those predicted to be of major or moderate significance are considered to be ‘significant’ in the context of the EIA Regulations, and are shaded in light grey in the above table.

The categories of significance are described in Table 10.5.

Table 10.5: Categories of Significance of Effect

Significance	Definition
Major	A fundamental change to location, environment, species or sensitive receptor.
Moderate	A material, but non-fundamental change to a location, environmental, species or sensitive receptor.
Minor	A detectable but non-material change to a location, environment, species or sensitive receptor
Negligible	No detectable or material change to a location, environment, species or sensitive receptor.

Effects assessed can be positive, negative or neutral. Whilst receptors may be considered “high-value”, a non-material magnitude of the impact would result in any effect being considered not significant.

10.3.5.4 Significance of Effect (Carbon Emissions)

The IEMA Guidelines plot different levels of significance against five levels of net zero compatibility. The significance level for ‘business as usual’ and ‘do minimum’ has been decided within this assessment using professional judgement. These are:

- Business as usual – the development is not compatible with the UK’s net zero trajectory and GHG impacts are not mitigated. Classed as a major or moderate adverse effect that is significant;
- Compatible with the budgeted, science base 1.5% trajectory – the development may have residual emissions but is doing enough to align with and contribute to the relevant transition scenario. Classed as a minor adverse effect that is not significant;
- Achieves emissions mitigation that goes substantially beyond the reduction trajectory – the development has minimal residual emissions and plays a part in achieving the rate of transition required by nationally set policy commitments. Classed as a negligible effect that is not significant; and
- Causes GHG emissions to be avoided – the development actively reverses the risk of severe climate change. Classed as a beneficial effect that is significant.

10.3.6 Assessment Limitations

The climate change projections are based on global models for a range of GHG emissions scenarios and generally consider regional responses to climate change rather than local responses. This is based on best scientific knowledge at this time and judgements on datasets and future socioeconomic drivers.

Downscaling adds another level of uncertainty. There may be more detail, but the uncertainty of the science may be higher. As understanding of the climate system and ability to model it improves it is likely that future projections will be refined.

The probabilities presented and the estimated ranges are based on a set of modelling, statistical and dataset choices with expert judgement playing an important role. However, as some potential influences on future climate are not yet known some choices may change as the science develops¹⁶.

Specifically, in relation to wind, the UKCP18 Wind Fact sheet¹⁷ states that local variations due to the land surface are hard to model, particularly in very exposed or sheltered locations. This can be particularly relevant in high wind speed situations where local gusts can result from small scale weather events such as thunderstorms.

At the time of conducting the assessment, the online version of the carbon calculator tool was not available, and the Scottish Government directed the project team to use an Excel version, version number 2.14.1, dated 27/01/2023. It is noted that this version makes the implicit assumption that the carbon emissions from coal, gas and grid-mix electricity generation, that will be displaced as a result of the Development generating electricity, will remain constant across the 40-year operational life of the Development. Other government sources¹⁸ indicate this is not likely to be the case, with long-run marginal emissions factors reducing to approximately 10% of their 2024 values by 2035. These numbers, however, depend on substantial increases in the proportion of total electricity generation coming from

¹⁶ Lowe et al (2018) UKCP18 Science Overview Report

¹⁷ UKCP18 (2019) Factsheet: Wind [Online]. Available at:

https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/binaries/content/assets/metofficegovuk/pdf/research/ukcp/ukcp18-fact-sheet-wind_march21.pdf (Accessed 28/03/2025)

¹⁸ DESNZ (2023). Data Tables 1-19. Available at:

<https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/6567994fcc1ec5000d8eef17/data-tables-1-19.xlsx> [accessed on 13/04/2025]. This set of tables supports the Treasury Green Book supplementary appraisal guidance on valuing energy use and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The appraisal guidance, background analysis and calculations toolkit may be found on the Green Book supplementary guidance section of GOV.UK website: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/valuation-of-energy-use-and-greenhouse-gas-emissions-for-appraisal> [accessed on 13/04/2025]

low-carbon sources, from projects such as the Development. Whilst there remains considerable uncertainty associated with the numbers used in the spreadsheet, it is clear that electricity generated from the Development would displace electricity generated from, on average, higher-carbon sources, with these savings being substantial for at least a few years (and much longer than the predicted “pay-back” time). As a result, the qualitative conclusions of the assessment may be relied on, notwithstanding uncertainties in the quantified values.

10.3.7 Embedded Mitigation

As detailed in Chapter 3: Site Selection and Design, the Development design has been driven by the key objective of capturing the maximum energy possible, while balancing environmental and technical constraints. The design choices made as a consequence of the key constraints are considered to be mitigation which is ‘embedded’ in the design; the following are most relevant for the CCIA:

- Development infrastructure built to withstand strong windspeeds and to harness energy;
- Turbine spacing sufficient to reduce turbulence effects on turbines downwind;
- Turbines are located to maximise energy generation while minimising environmental impacts;
- The Development design aims to minimise environmental impacts e.g., through use of the existing track layout;
- Turbines, and associated infrastructure, have been sited to avoid, as far as practicable, the localised areas of deeper (1-3 m) peat at the site, to minimise peat disturbance;
- A detailed Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and Peat Management Plan (PMP) will be drafted and agreed prior to construction, to minimise environmental impacts and peat disturbance in the post-consent detailed design process, with specific turbine technologies proposed at that time; and
- 50 m buffers from watercourses have been incorporated in the layout design, other than watercourse crossings by tracks, protecting water quality and also protecting Development infrastructure from flooding.

10.4 BASELINE CONDITIONS

In the future, it is expected the GHG/carbon intensity will continue to decline in Scotland due to legislative and policy changes and decarbonisation of industry, energy supply and transportation.

However, climate projections show that the trends over the 21st century in the UK are towards warmer and wetter winters and hotter, drier summers, with an increase in frequency and intensity of extremes.

The climate parameters considered most relevant to the assessments referenced within this chapter are wind speed, temperature and precipitation.

The State of the UK Climate 2023¹⁹ provides the latest report on observed UK climate data for the most recent decade (2014-2023). Key findings are:

- The most recent decade (2014–2023) has been on average 0.42°C warmer than the 1991–2020 average and 1.25°C warmer than 1961–1990. This is the warmest 10-year period in both the UK series from 1884 and CET series from 1659;
- The most recent decade (2014–2023) has had almost a week fewer air frosts per year than the 1991–2020 average and over a fortnight fewer than 1961–1990;
- The most recent decade (2014–2023) has had over a week fewer ground frosts per year than the 1991–2020 average and almost a month fewer than 1961–1990;
- The most recent decade (2014–2023) has been 2% wetter than 1991–2020 and 10% wetter than 1961–1990;
- UK winters for the most recent decade (2014–2023) have been 9% wetter than 1991–2020 and 24% wetter than 1961–1990, with smaller increases in summer and autumn and none in spring; and

¹⁹ Met Office (2024) State of the UK climate. Available at: <https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/research/climate/maps-and-data/about/state-of-climate> (Accessed 28/03/2025).

- There have been fewer occurrences of max gust speeds exceeding 40/50/60 Kt in the last two decades compared with the 1980s and 1990s.

10.4.1 Wind Speed

The latest UKCP Fact Sheet for Wind, updated in August 2022²⁰, states that the global projections over the UK show an increase in near surface (10 metre [m] height) wind speeds over the UK in the second half of the 21st century, in the winter season when higher wind speeds are experienced.

This would be accompanied by an increase in frequency of winter storms over the UK. The increase is modest when compared to inter-annual variability.

There are no significant changes forecast in the wind speeds over the first part of the century.

10.4.2 Precipitation

The UKCP18 Science Overview Report states that throughout the UK, the changes to precipitation projected for 2041-2060 (compared to 1981-2000) for RCP8.5 (unmitigated scenario) are an increase of 7% in winter for the 50th percentile (results for the 10th to 90th percentile range are between -5% and +21%).

For summer precipitation, this is projected to decrease by 15% (results for the 10th to 90th percentile range are between -35% and +0%)²¹.

10.4.3 Temperature

UKCP18 Science Overview Report states that for period 2041-2060, projected changes to annual mean temperature (compared to 1981-2000) is projected at +1.8 °C (50th percentile) for RCP8.5 (unmitigated scenario). Results for the 10th to 90th percentile range are between +0.9°C to +2.7°C²².

Other key observations from the latest UKCP Fact Sheet for Temperature²³ are that:

- Both winters and summers will be warmer, with more warming in the summer; and
- In summer there is a pronounced north/south divide with greater increases in maximum summer temperatures over the southern UK compared to Northern Scotland.

10.5 ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS

As a large energy asset of generation in excess of 50 MW, the Development can be classed as an asset of regional importance and is classed as medium sensitivity for the following assessments.

10.5.1 Vulnerability of the Development to Climate Change

10.5.1.1 Wind

As energy content of the wind varies with the cube of the average wind speed²⁴, small increases in wind speed can result in large increases in wind power. There is a higher risk of damage from strong winds; winds associated with major storm events can be some of the most damaging and disruptive events for the UK with implications for infrastructure.

²⁰ Met Office (2021) UKCP18 Fact Sheet: Wind. Available at:

https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/binaries/content/assets/metofficegovuk/pdf/research/ukcp/ukcp18-fact-sheet-wind_march21.pdf [Accessed 28/03/2025].

²¹ Lowe *et al* (2018) UKCP18 Science Overview Report November 2018 (Updated March 2019)

²² Lowe *et al* (2018) UKCP18 Science Overview Report November 2018 (Updated March 2019) (Table 2.2, Page 16).

²³ Met office (2019). UKCP18 Fact Sheet: Temperature. Available at:

<https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/binaries/content/assets/metofficegovuk/pdf/research/ukcp/ukcp18-fact-sheet-temperature.pdf> [accessed 31/07/2025].

²⁴ Energy Savings Trust (2019) Wind Turbine: Measuring Wind Speed [Online] Available at:

https://www.energysavingtrust.org.uk/sites/default/files/reports/wind%20turbine_measuring%20wind%20speed.pdf (Accessed 28/03/2025).

Wind turbines are designed to capture wind energy, and built to withstand extreme conditions associated with exposed locations. However, wind energy developments could potentially be sensitive to significant changes in variables, including atmospheric circulation and land cover changes as well as changes in the frequency of extreme events (e.g., storms), which could damage wind turbines or alter their efficiency.

Over the lifetime of the Development, UKCP18 states that there are no compelling trends in storminess (as a result of maximum gust speeds) over the last four decades and for wind speed change there is little long-term trend evident. Therefore, the natural variability which exists in wind speed, and subsequently storms, will have a negligible magnitude of change on energy projections and on the efficient operation of the Development.

Given the negligible magnitude of the effect and the medium sensitivity of the Development as a receptor, the effect is assessed as negligible, and **not significant** in terms of the EIA Regulations.

10.5.1.2 Temperature

Wind energy developments are sensitive to cold weather events and ice forming on blades. When icing does occur, the turbine's own vibration sensors are likely to detect the imbalance and inhibit the operation of the machines. With the projected trend to warmer conditions, the predicted magnitude of change is negligible. The effect is assessed as negligible and therefore **not significant** in terms of the EIA Regulations.

10.5.1.3 Precipitation

The risk from increased precipitation is the potential for flooding, particularly if it is associated with extreme events. For the Development this increases the risk for potential destruction/disruption of infrastructure, e.g., loss of a watercourse crossing, flooding of the control building. Buffers from watercourses are embedded in the turbine locations and hardstandings of the Development, where access tracks or watercourse crossing are required, these are designed in lined with best practice with a CEMP implemented during construction. As such, the Development has low sensitivity to increase in precipitation.

UKCP18 show that over the winter season precipitation in this area of Scotland is projected to increase by up to 30% at the medium estimate. Given the embedded mitigation, the magnitude of effect on the operation of the Development is assessed as low and the overall effect is minor and therefore, **not significant**.

10.5.2 Influences of the Development on Climate Change

10.5.2.1 Carbon Savings

Every unit of electricity produced by a wind farm development displaces a unit of electricity which would otherwise have been produced by a conventional (typically gas-fired) power station, and therefore presents carbon savings.

The electricity produced from the wind farm is assumed (by the Scottish Government's carbon calculator) to substitute energy production by one of three scenarios: entirely coal-fired generation; a mix of fossil fuels; or the national grid mix of energy generation. A renewable energy development would have a maximum potential to save carbon emissions when substituting coal fired generation, which is a possibility if coal is at the bottom of the cost merit order of generation.

However, it is not appropriate to define the electricity source for which this renewable electricity project would substitute, due to uncertainty in future grid mix. For this reason, carbon emission savings are calculated for each scenario in the carbon calculator (TA A10.1).

The potential annual carbon emission savings for the Development are provided in Table 10.6. Based on a conservative estimation of the capacity factor being between 28% and 36%, it is expected the Development would result in the production of approximately 383,478 MWh annually, equating to 15,339,110 MWh over the operational life of the Development. This equates to displacing approximately 167,580 tonnes of fossil fuel mix generation equivalent CO₂ emissions over the operational life which is a beneficial environmental effect. The projected change in wind speeds as a result of climate change over the operational phase of the Development is considered to be non-material for the purposes of this assessment.

Table 10.6: Carbon Savings for the Development (Expected Scenario)

Type of Electricity Generation	Expected CO ₂ Saving (t CO ₂ yr ⁻¹)
Coal fired electricity generation	401,118
Grid mix electricity generation	65,575
Fossil fuel mix electricity generation	167,580

10.5.2.2 Carbon Losses

The manufacturing, construction and installation of the wind turbines on Site has an associated carbon cost, and carbon losses are also generated by the requirement for extra capacity to back up wind power generation. Carbon losses associated with reduced carbon fixing potential and loss of soil organic matter occurs through excavation of peat for construction and drainage effects (albeit the amounts of peat are very low on this Site compared to many Scottish upland sites).

Organic soils (peatlands) in Scotland act as carbon sinks, whereby they absorb carbon dioxide then they release it due to land use change. Wind farm developments on peatlands may result in a negative impact on these habitats if not appropriately considered during scheme design and development. Changes to the peatland habitat through development could result in a significant effect on its ability to store carbon, potentially resulting in reduced net carbon benefits of the Development.

A peat depth survey was undertaken across the Site where it was established that the average peat depth within the Site is 0.59 m and that areas of deep peat are distributed sporadically across the Site. The design process sought to avoid disturbance to deposits of deep peat.

Carbon losses for the expected scenario are summarised in Table 10.7.

Table 10.7: Carbon Losses for the Development (Expected Scenario)

Losses	Carbon Losses (tCO ₂) Expected Value
Losses due to turbine life (e.g., manufacture, construction, decommissioning)	119,315
Losses due to back-up	104,737
Losses due to reduced carbon fixing potential	3,118
Losses from soil organic matter	13,459
Losses due to Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) and Particulate Organic Carbon (POC) leaching	15
Losses due to felling forestry (no tree felling is proposed)	0
Total losses	240,644

10.5.2.3 Payback Period

The carbon payback period is a measurement/indicator to help assess a proposal. The shorter the payback the greater benefit the Development will have in displacing emissions associated with electricity generated by burning fossil fuels.

The payback period is calculated taking the total carbon cost (carbon losses) associated with the Development and dividing by the annual carbon gains from displaced fossil fuel power generation and any site improvements.

The estimated expected payback period for the Development is 1.4 years compared to the fossil fuel-mix of electricity generation. In comparison, the expected payback period of the Development for the grid-mix and coal-fired electricity generation is 3.6 years and 0.6 years, respectively. Table 10.8 below goes into further detail regarding the carbon payback period for the Development.

Table 10.8: Payback in Years for each Scenario used in the Carbon Calculator

Generation Source	Counterfactual emission factors (2021) (t CO ₂ MWh ⁻¹)	Carbon Payback Period (years)		
		Expected Value	Minimum Value	Maximum Value
Coal-fired plant	1.046	0.6	0.4	1.4
Grid-mix	0.171	3.7	2.3	8.9
Fossil fuel-mix	0.437	1.4	0.9	3.5

The CO₂ emission savings for the operational lifetime of the Development (expected to be 40 years) would be a net benefit with regards to reducing climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In accordance with the criteria in Section 10.3.5.4, the Development causes GHG emissions to be avoided – the development actively reverses the risk of severe climate change. This is classed as a **beneficial effect that is significant**.

10.6 MITIGATION AND RESIDUAL EFFECTS

The Development will have a positive effect due to the CO₂ emission savings for the operational lifetime and beyond resulting in a net benefit of the Development to reducing climate change. This has been maximised by optimising the number and size of turbines in the Development whilst balanced against the limited adverse environmental effects.

The battery energy storage system proposed as part of the Development will enable storage of energy when there is excess electricity being generated compared to the demand, so that it can be used when there is more demand than generation. This facilitates the use of renewable energy rather than other sources of electricity, further reducing the reliance on fossil fuels and helping decarbonise the electricity network. The effects of BESS are not included in the Scottish Government's carbon calculator, however, and hence have not been included in the assessment. This is a worst-case approach, consistent with the principles of EIA.

10.7 CUMULATIVE EFFECT ASSESSMENT

The Scottish and UK Governments have set ambitious targets for reducing GHG emissions by 2045 and 2050 respectively. The Development, in conjunction with other renewable energy developments, will contribute to Scotland and the UK's aims to reduce carbon emissions and achieve meet its ambitious greenhouse gas emissions targets.

The Development (wind turbines alone) will contribute approximately 140 MW of installed capacity which will contribute to increasing renewable energy generation capacity within Scotland the UK.

The cumulative effect of the Development with other UK renewables generation will be a fundamental change in the climate effects of UK energy supply. This represents a major, positive effect that is **significant** under the EIA Regulations.

10.8 SUMMARY OF EFFECTS

Table 10.9 provides a summary of the effects detailed within this Chapter.

Table 10.9: Summary of Effects

Receptor	Potential Effect	Significance of Effect	Mitigation Proposed	Residual Effect
Vulnerability of the Development to Climate Change				
Wind Turbines	Changes in the frequency of extreme events (e.g., storms), which could damage wind turbines or alter their efficiency.	Negligible No Significant Effects	None	Negligible

Receptor	Potential Effect	Significance of Effect	Mitigation Proposed	Residual Effect
Wind Turbines	Wind energy developments are sensitive to cold weather events and ice forming on blades.	Negligible No Significant Effects	Embedded mitigation in that when icing does occur, the turbine's own vibration sensors will detect the imbalance and inhibit the operation of the machines.	Negligible
Potential destruction/disruption of infrastructure	The risk from increased precipitation is the potential for flooding impacts to infrastructure. E.g., loss of watercourse crossing, flooding to control building.	Minor No Significant Effects	Embedded mitigation through watercourse buffers for turbine locations and hardstandings. Access tracks and watercourse crossings are designed in line with best practice, with a CEMP implemented during construction.	Negligible
Influence of the Development on Climate Change				
Climate - average temperatures as linked to GHG emissions.	Reduction in GHG emissions through offsetting of existing conventional generation.	Major beneficial Significant Cumulative effects: Major beneficial Significant	None Embedded mitigation has reduced payback period and maximised beneficial impact.	Significant beneficial contribution individually and cumulatively to GHG emission reductions.

10.9 STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

The Development will reduce emissions of greenhouse gases associated with electricity generation and hence have a **significant beneficial** effect on climate change both individually and cumulatively with other renewable energy generation developments.

Effects on the Development as a result of an altered future climate are assessed as negligible and not significant.